Postal Regulatory Commission Submitted 11/26/2014 10:59:04 AM Filing ID: 90755 Accepted 11/26/2014

## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

Periodic Reporting (Proposal Nine)

Docket No. RM2015-2

## CHAIRMAN'S INFORMATION REQUEST NO. 2

(Issued November 26, 2014)

To clarify the Postal Service's petition to consider changes to analytical principles, filed October 31, 2014, the Postal Service is requested to provide a written response to the following questions.<sup>1</sup> The answers should be provided by December 2, 2014.

- 1. Proposal Nine contains several components that directly affect cost segments 12 (Motor Vehicle Service) and 13 (Miscellaneous Local Operations). Table 2 in the Petition –"Impact of Proposal Nine on Total CRA Costs" only refers to cost segments 6 and 7 in the column headers. What is the impact on the total Cost and Revenue Analysis (CRA) costs for the proposed changes in cost segments 12 and 13?
- 2. Worksheet 7.0.4.3 line items (1)–'In-Office' and (8) –'Total In-Office' in column (3)-'Foot Routes', contain a null value in lieu of a number (in CS06&7\_TACS.xlsx filed in USPS-RM2015-2/1). Without Proposal Nine methodology incorporated, this same worksheet section contained a figure of approximately 287 million.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Petition of the United States Postal Service for the Initiation of a Proceeding to Consider Proposed Changes in Analytical Principles (Proposal Nine), October 31, 2014 (Petition).

- a. Please explain which components of Proposal Nine reduced this value to zero.
- b. Please explain how this is an improvement over the current methodology.
- 3. Worksheet 7.0.4.3 line item (8)-'Total In-office' in column (5)-'Foot Route Proportions' contains the value 0.00% (in CS06&7\_TACS.xlsx filed in USPS-RM2015-2/1). Without Proposal Nine methodology incorporated, this same value was 31.6%.
  - a. Please explain which components of Proposal Nine reduced this value to zero.
  - b. Please explain how this is an improvement over the current methodology.

By the Chairman.

Ruth Y. Goldway